

# Metropolitan Telecommunications OSS Performance Issues New Jersey 271 Re-Filing (W.C. 02-67)

Note: This presentation Is Redacted For Public <u>Distribution</u>



#### Overview

- Verizon's New Jersey systems are not sufficiently operationally viable to support effective competition.
- A strong, open and reliable Operational Support System (OSS) is essential for open competition.
- An ineffective OSS is a barrier to competition.
- Absent an effective OSS, 271 approval must be denied.



#### MetTel Issues

- Timely Provision of Local Service Request Confirmations (LSRCs) and Rejects as well as timely return of Provisioning Completion Notices (PCNs) and Billing Completion Notices (BCNs) is an essential component of a strong, open and reliable OSS.
- Accurate Provisioning Completion Notices (PCNs) and Billing Completion Notices (BCNs) are another essential component of a strong, open and reliable OSS.



#### **Timeliness Metrics Issues**

- Metrics Performance Analysis using Verizon "Flat File" data demonstrates substandard Verizon performance.
- Verizon's own specific results for MetTel indicate they failed \*\* of sub-metrics (of \*\* tracked) for the November through April period .
- MetTel's calculation of the performance metrics indicate Verizon failed \*\* sub-metrics (of the \*\* tracked) for the November through April period.

# Timeliness Metrics Issues: OR-1 and OR-2 Metrics Performance

#### **November and December 2001**

											Verizon
									Verizon	Verizon	Calculated
					Standard =		MetTel	Verizon	Calculated%	Industry	Industry
					95% in: X	MetTel	Calculated	Observations	Achieved	Aggregate	Aggregate
Period	State	Platform	Metric	Metric Name	Hrs	Observations	% Achieved	for MetTel	for MetTel	Observations	% Achieved
							1				1
200111	NJ	UNE	OR-1-02	OT LSRC FlowThrough	2					1413	99.50%
200111	NJ	UNE	OR-1-04	OT LSRC/ASRC no FC - POTS	24					1242	97.58%
200111	NJ	UNE	OR-1-06	OT LSRC/ASRC FC - POTS	72					290	100.00%
200111	NJ	UNE	OR-2-02	OT Reject FlowThrough	2					627	98.72%
200111	NJ	UNE	OR-2-04	OT Reject no FC - POTS	24					1430	98.88%
200111	NJ	UNE	OR-2-06	OT Reject FC - POTS	72					171	100.00%
200111	NJ	Resale	OR-1-02	OT LSRC FlowThrough	2					18209	96.88%
200111	NJ	Resale	OR-1-04	OT LSRC/ASRC no FC - POTS	24					3917	97.98%
200111	NJ	Resale	OR-1-06	OT LSRC/ASRC FC - POTS	72					305	99.67%
200111	NJ	Resale	OR-2-02	OT Reject FlowThrough	2					2799	98.36%
200111	NJ	Resale	OR-2-04	OT Reject no FC - POTS	24					2603	99.23%
200111	NJ	Resale	OR-2-06	OT Reject FC - POTS	72					287	99.65%
200112	NJ	UNE	OR-1-02	OT LSRC FlowThrough	2					1801	99.44%
200112	NJ	UNE	OR-1-04	OT LSRC/ASRC no FC - POTS	24					1320	98.64%
200112	NJ	UNE	OR-1-06	OT LSRC/ASRC FC - POTS	72					276	99.64%
200112	NJ	UNE	OR-2-02	OT Reject FlowThrough	2					549	100.00%
200112	NJ	UNE	OR-2-04	OT Reject no FC - POTS	24					1395	99.00%
200112	NJ	UNE	OR-2-06	OT Reject FC - POTS	72					146	100.00%
200112	NJ	Resale	OR-1-02	OT LSRC FlowThrough	2					15591	99.33%
200112	NJ	Resale	OR-1-04	OT LSRC/ASRC no FC - POTS	24					3553	98.17%
200112	NJ	Resale	OR-1-06	OT LSRC/ASRC FC - POTS	72					318	98.74%
200112	NJ	Resale	OR-2-02	OT Reject FlowThrough	2					2168	99.72%
200112	NJ	Resale	OR-2-04	OT Reject no FC - POTS	24					1853	98.92%
200112	NJ	Resale	OR-2-06	OT Reject FC - POTS	72					261	110.00%
			•	-	•					•	

## Metropolitan Telecommunications Metropolitan Telecommunications Metropolitan Telecommunications

#### **OR-1** and **OR-2** Metrics Performance

#### **January and February 2002**

											Verizon
									Verizon	Verizon	Calculated
					Standard =		MetTel	Verizon	Calculated%	Industry	Industry
					95% in: X	MetTel	Calculated	Observations	Achieved	Aggregate	Aggregate
Period	State	Platform	Metric	Metric Name	Hrs	Observations	% Achieved	for MetTel	for MetTel	Observations	% Achieved
200201	NJ	UNE	OR-1-02	OT LSRC FlowThrough	2					2002	100.00%
200201	NJ	UNE	OR-1-04	OT LSRC/ASRC no FC - POTS	24					1580	98.16%
200201	NJ	UNE	OR-1-06	OT LSRC/ASRC FC - POTS	72					458	100.00%
200201	NJ	UNE	OR-2-02	OT Reject FlowThrough	2					696	100.00%
200201	NJ	UNE	OR-2-04	OT Reject no FC - POTS	24					1631	98.71%
200201	NJ	UNE	OR-2-06	OT Reject FC - POTS	72					290	99.66%
200201	NJ	Resale	OR-1-02	OT LSRC FlowThrough	2					21554	99.99%
200201	NJ	Resale	OR-1-04	OT LSRC/ASRC no FC - POTS	24					4033	98.59%
200201	NJ	Resale	OR-1-06	OT LSRC/ASRC FC - POTS	72					387	99.74%
200201	NJ	Resale	OR-2-02	OT Reject FlowThrough	2					2724	99.93%
200201	NJ	Resale	OR-2-04	OT Reject no FC - POTS	24					1998	99.45%
200201	NJ	Resale	OR-2-06	OT Reject FC - POTS	72					361	100.00%
200202	NJ	UNE	OR-1-02	OT LSRC FlowThrough	2					1838	99.56%
200202	NJ	UNE	OR-1-04	OT LSRC/ASRC no FC - POTS	24					1218	98.03%
200202	NJ	UNE	OR-1-06	OT LSRC/ASRC FC - POTS	72					324	100.00%
200202	NJ	UNE	OR-2-02	OT Reject FlowThrough	2					525	98.10%
200202	NJ	UNE	OR-2-04	OT Reject no FC - POTS	24					831	98.68%
200202	NJ	UNE	OR-2-06	OT Reject FC - POTS	72					140	100.00%
200202	NJ	Resale	OR-1-02	OT LSRC FlowThrough	2					16245	99.47%
200202	NJ	Resale	OR-1-04	OT LSRC/ASRC no FC - POTS	24					3681	98.40%
200202	NJ	Resale	OR-1-06	OT LSRC/ASRC FC - POTS	72					290	99.66%
200202	NJ	Resale	OR-2-02	OT Reject FlowThrough	2					2434	99.55%
200202	NJ	Resale	OR-2-04	OT Reject no FC - POTS	24			_		1759	99.66%
200202	NJ	Resale	OR-2-06	OT Reject FC - POTS	72					308	99.68%
							-	•			_

# Metropolitan Telecommunications OR-1 and OR-2 Metrics Performance

#### March and April 2002

Period	State	Platform	Metric	Metric Name	Standard = 95% in: X Hrs	MetTel Observations	MetTel Calculated % Achieved	Verizon Observations for MetTel	Verizon Calculated% Achieved for MetTel	Verizon Industry Aggregate Observations	Verizon Calculated Industry Aggregate % Achieved
200203	NJ	UNE	OR-1-02	OT LSRC FlowThrough	2					2031	99.80%
200203	NJ	UNE	OR-1-02 OR-1-04	OT LSRC/ASRC no FC - POTS	24					1235	99.80% 98.14%
200203	NJ	UNE	OR-1-06	OT LSRC/ASRC FC - POTS	72					290	99.66%
200203	NJ	UNE	OR-2-02	OT Reject FlowThrough	2					597	99.16%
200203	NJ	UNE	OR-2-04	OT Reject no FC - POTS	24					943	99.26%
200203	NJ	UNE	OR-2-06	OT Reject FC - POTS	72					170	100.00%
200203	NJ	Resale	OR-1-02	OT LSRC FlowThrough	2					17830	98.48%
200203	NJ	Resale	OR-1-02 OR-1-04	OT LSRC/ASRC no FC - POTS	24					3903	99.18%
200203	NJ	Resale	OR-1-04	OT LSRC/ASRC NO FC - FOTS	72					409	99.78%
200203	NJ	Resale	OR-2-02	OT Reject FlowThrough	2					2472	99.56%
200203	NJ	Resale	OR-2-02	OT Reject Flow Hirough	24					1739	99.65%
200203	NJ	Resale	OR-2-06	OT Reject TO - POTS	72					251	100.00%
200203	140	ixesaie	OIX-2-00	Of Reject 10-1013	12					231	100.0078
			27 / 22	07   00 Ft   Tt   1	1 -		1			4=40	
200204	NJ	UNE	OR-1-02	OT LSRC FlowThrough	2					1746	99.83%
200204	NJ	UNE	OR-1-04	OT LSRC/ASRC no FC - POTS	24					1002	96.91%
200204	NJ	UNE	OR-1-06	OT LSRC/ASRC FC - POTS	72					509	100.00%
200204	NJ	UNE	OR-2-02	OT Reject FlowThrough	2					784	100.00%
200204	NJ	UNE	OR-2-04	OT Reject no FC - POTS	24					352	98.58%
200204	NJ	UNE	OR-2-06	OT Reject FC - POTS	72					187	99.47%
			1			1					
200204	NJ	Resale	OR-1-02	OT LSRC FlowThrough	2					26825	100.00%
200204	NJ	Resale	OR-1-04	OT LSRC/ASRC no FC - POTS	24					4223	98.51%
200204	NJ	Resale	OR-1-06	OT LSRC/ASRC FC - POTS	72					346	98.71%
200204	NJ	Resale	OR-2-02	OT Reject FlowThrough	2					2499	99.92%
200204	NJ	Resale	OR-2-04	OT Reject no FC - POTS	24					1668	98.86%
200204	NJ	Resale	OR-2-06	OT Reject FC - POTS	72					212	100.00%



#### Mettel Timeliness Metrics Issues: **OR-4 Metrics Performance**

#### November 2001 through February 2002

Period	State	Platform	Metric	Metric Name	Standard = 95% in: X Hrs	MetTel Observations	MetTel Calculated % Achieved	Verizon Observations for MetTel	Verizon Calculated% Achieved for MetTel	Verizon Industry Aggregate Observations	Verizon Calculated Industry Aggregate % Achieved
200111	N.J	UNE	OR-4-05	Work Completion Notice % OT	12N Next BD					5952	100.00%
200111	NJ	UNE	OR-4-09	% SOP to Bill Completion Within 3 Business Days	3 Days					1806	94.52%
200111	INO	UNE	UK-4-09	% SOP to Bill Completion Within 3 Business Days	3 Days					1000	94.52%
200111	NJ	Resale	OR-4-05	Work Completion Notice % OT	12N Next BD					20670	99.91%
200111	NJ	Resale	OR-4-09	% SOP to Bill Completion Within 3 Business Days	3 Days					11896	99.39%
				, ,							
200112	NJ	UNE	OR-4-05	Work Completion Notice % OT	12N Next BD					6797	100.00%
200112	NJ	UNE	OR-4-09	% SOP to Bill Completion Within 3 Business Days	3 Days					3112	97.94%
200112	NJ	Resale	OR-4-05	Work Completion Notice % OT	12N Next BD					17881	100.00%
200112	NJ	Resale	OR-4-09	% SOP to Bill Completion Within 3 Business Days	3 Days					10312	99.35%
200201	NJ	UNE	OR-4-05	Work Completion Notice % OT	12N Next BD					9505	100.00%
200201	NJ	UNE	OR-4-09	% SOP to Bill Completion Within 3 Business Days	3 Days					5336	91.12%
200201	NJ	Resale	OR-4-05	Work Completion Notice % OT	12N Next BD					22772	100.00%
200201	NJ	Resale	OR-4-09	% SOP to Bill Completion Within 3 Business Days	3 Days					10234	99.22%
					1		1		1		
200202	NJ	UNE	OR-4-05	Work Completion Notice % OT	12N Next BD					5478	100.00%
200202	NJ	UNE	OR-4-09	% SOP to Bill Completion Within 3 Business Days	3 Days					1467	95.43%
200202	NJ	Resale	OR-4-05	Work Completion Notice 9/ CT	12N Next BD		<u> </u>			17923	99.92%
200202	NJ NJ	Resale	OR-4-05 OR-4-09	Work Completion Notice % OT % SOP to Bill Completion Within 3 Business Days	3 Days					9640	99.92%
200202	ΝJ	Resale	UK-4-09	76 SOF to Bill Completion within 3 Business Days	3 Days					9040	90.90%



### Mettel Timeliness Metrics Issues: **OR-4 Metrics Performance**

#### March and April 2002

Period	State	Platform	Metric	Metric Name	Standard = 95% in: X Hrs	MetTel Observations	MetTel Calculated % Achieved	Verizon Observations for MetTel	Verizon Calculated% Achieved for MetTel	Verizon Industry Aggregate Observations	Verizon Calculated Industry Aggregate % Achieved
200203	NJ	UNE	OR-4-05	Work Completion Notice % OT	12N Next BD					5935	100.00%
200203	ŊJ	UNE	OR-4-09	% SOP to Bill Completion Within 3 Business Days	3 Days					1576	91.88%
200203	NJ	Resale	OR-4-05	Work Completion Notice % OT	12N Next BD					21669	100.00%
200203	ŊJ	Resale	OR-4-09	% SOP to Bill Completion Within 3 Business Days	3 Days					12343	99.13%
200204	NJ	UNE	OR-4-05	Work Completion Notice % OT	12N Next BD					7058	100.00%
200204	NJ	UNE	OR-4-09	% SOP to Bill Completion Within 3 Business Days	3 Days					1908	96.17%
200204	NJ	Resale	OR-4-05	Work Completion Notice % OT	12N Next BD					26288	100.00%
200204	NJ	Resale	OR-4-09	% SOP to Bill Completion Within 3 Business Days	3 Days			·		17143	99.42%



#### **Timeliness Metrics Issues**

- MetTel has utilized both the specific language of the New Jersey metrics and the Verizon published calculation rules in its metrics calculations
- New Jersey Board of Public Utilities Order (Revised Performance Reports) dated March 28, 2002 relating to Dockets TX95120631 and TX98010010 (page 3 para. C). "It has been the experience of Staff since the adoption of the Guidelines in June 2000 that the reports issued to the CLECs and the Board contain discrepancies"



#### **False Notifier Problems**

- The notifiers transmitted by Verizon certify the work requested has been completed, analysis of the expected results indicates this is not the case.
- CLECs have no other information source as an alternative to the Verizon notifiers and must rely upon them.



#### **False Notifier Problems**

- MetTel examines usage based on the Usage Record Date i.e. the date the End User incurred the usage (i.e Date of Record) as presented on the Verizon Daily Usage File.
  - This usage is utilized to verify the validity of the completion notifier by testing for the expected result.
- Since the cessation of Usage is easier to verify than the commencement, MetTel has analyzed Usage after Loss of Line and its obverse lack of usage after Migration



#### **False Notifier Problems**

- Verizon Change Control CR Number 1363 (Correction of the Effective Date on the Line Loss Report) dated May 22, 2000 with an Effective Date of June 17, 2000 clearly states
  - "This bulletin is notification that the Effective Date will be corrected to reflect the completion date of the service order that processed the removal of the end user from the CLEC."
- MetTel's analysis shows a clear and indisputable pattern of continuous usage after the Loss of Line Effective Date
- For every TN where the usage is routed to the losing carrier, the gaining carrier is not receiving the usage.



### False Notifier Problems: Usage After Loss of Line

#### As of June 3,2002

	TNs on LOL in the		Usage after tive Date (1)	Days To Achieve % Usage After LOL ED (2)				
State	Period	#	%	50%	95%			
NJ			9.89%	43.31	65.15			
NY			33.02%	44.23	130.80			
PA			22.39%	2.62	11.68			
<b>Grand Total</b>		_	31.43%	43.84	130.75			

<sup>(1)</sup> For LOL Effective Dates starting at January 1st 2002

<sup>(2)</sup> For TN with Usage after the LOL ED



#### Mettel. False Notifier Problems: **Migration Accuracy January to May 2002**

	# of	Usage Starting 3 Days from PCN CD		No Usage as o	f June 3, 2002	Total Late and no Usage		
Period	Migrations (2)	# of Migrations	%	# of Migrations	%	# of Migrations	%	
January			8.61%		1.99%		10.60%	
February			31.10%		7.32%		38.41%	
March			17.65%		8.82%		26.47%	
April			18.60%		4.65%		23.26%	
May (1)			8.22%		2.74%		10.96%	
Total			18.06%		4.73%		22.80%	

<sup>(1)</sup> Migration PCN CD up to May 23rd, 2002

<sup>(2)</sup> Does NOT include payphones



# False Notifier Problems: PIC Change Problems

- Likewise, MetTel has also noticed problems when customers request a change in their Long Distance Carrier.
- The selection and change of PIC is one of the oldest processes in the industry and should be the most reliable.
- When PIC changes receive Completion Notices and then calls are misdirected there is a fundamental system quality problem.



#### Mette False Notifier Problems: **PIC Change Problems: First Call Analysis**

		CIC Change		Call as uested	Finat C	all not as R	loguested	No Pre Su Calls	
	State		#	w %			% From TNs with CIC Record		%
	First CIC after CIC Change by TN			48.13%		12.88%	21.11%		39.00%
NJ	First CIC after CIC Change by PON			57.24%		11.72%	17.00%		31.03%
	First CIC after CIC Change by TN			37.10%		2.73%	6.86%		60.17%
NY	First CIC after CIC Change by PON			43.17%		2.74%	5.97%		54.09%
	First CIC after CIC Change by TN			55.26%		5.92%	9.68%		38.82%
PA	First CIC after CIC Change by PON			62.60%		4.88%	7.23%		32.52%
	First CIC after CIC Change by TN			37.59%		3.05%	7.50%		59.36%
Total	First CIC after CIC Change by PON			43.60%		2.93%	6.30%		53.47%

Only calls that are routed to a presubscribed carrier are examened

<sup>\*\*</sup> Only PIC Change orders to CIC 5237 with a PCN Completion Date between January 1, 2002 and March 31, 2002 are included

<sup>\*\*\*</sup> These lines have not shown a call to a Presubscribed Carrier as of April 26, 2002



#### **Mettel** False Notifier Problems: **PIC Change Problems: Total Calls Analysis**

						Cal	lls Routed to  Calls Rout		TIC		
		Calls Ro	uted to	Calls R	outed to		other then	Requested			
		Requeste	ed CIC	Previo	ous CIC		or Previo	ous CIC		Tot	tal
					% From						
					Total Routed						
					To A						
					Different	% From		% From	% From		% From
State	Total Calls	#	%	#	CIC	<b>Total Calls</b>	#	Category	Total Calls	#	Total
NJ			75.04%		90.95%	22.70%		9.05%	2.26%		24.96%
NY			85.99%		80.85%	11.33%		19.15%	2.68%		14.01%
PA			65.56%		100.00%	34.44%		0.00%	0.00%		34.44%
Total			85.43%		81.85%	11.93%		18.15%	2.65%		14.57%

Only calls that are routed to a presubscribed carrier are examened

<sup>\*\*</sup> Only PIC Change orders to CIC 5237 with a PCN Completion Date between January 1, 2002 and March 31, 2002 are included

<sup>\*\*\*</sup> These lines have not shown a call to a Presubscribed Carrier as of April 26, 2002

# Metropolitan Telecommunications Missing Notifier Trouble Ticket Resolution

- Performance has improved overall from the earlier levels.
- Performance has disproportionally improved in NJ more than the work completed in the same shop for states which have received 271 approval.



#### **Trouble Ticket Status**

					Solv	ed in			Tota	al not	
			Solve	ed in 3	More	Than			Solved in 3		
		# Pons	Business		3 Business				Business		Time to
		on	D	ays	Days		Not Solved		Days		95%
		Trouble	# of		# of		# of		# of		
Month	March	Ticket	Pons	%	Pons	%	Pons	%	Pons	%	Days
	TOTAL			89.19%		10.81%		0.00%		10.81%	12.18
	NJ (1)			94.23%		5.77%		0.00%		5.77%	3.16
	NY (1)			88.78%		11.22%		0.00%		11.22%	14.08
March	PA (2)			66.67%		33.33%		0.00%		33.33%	13.19
	TOTAL			86.93%		15.19%		0.00%		15.19%	7.21
	NJ (1)			100.00%		8.22%		0.00%		8.22%	2.09
	NY (1)			82.56%		17.44%		0.00%		17.44%	8.31
April	PA (2)			80.00%		20.00%		0.00%		20.00%	4.25
	TOTAL			89.85%		9.98%		0.17%		10.15%	6.31
	NJ (3)			97.53%		2.47%		0.00%		2.47%	1.74
	NY (3)			88.34%		11.46%		0.20%		11.66%	4.87
May	PA (3)			100.00%		0.00%		0.00%		0.00%	2.65
	TOTAL			89.04%		11.27%		0.06%		11.33%	7.04
	NJ			96.90%		5.43%		0.00%		5.43%	2.65
	NY			87.69%		12.23%		0.08%		12.31%	8.87
Total	PA			82.93%		17.07%		0.00%		17.07%	3.99

- 1. As of May 16, 2002
- 2. As of May 28, 2002
- 3. As of June 14, 2002

# Metropolitan Telecommunications Missing Notifier Trouble Ticket Resolution

- MetTel has experienced a continuing problem where the status responses from Verizon reflect the last notifier transmitted rather than the current status of the PON
- FCC 00-92 requires Verizon transmit the "current status" of the PON
- Verizon Change Control Topic Number 69 (Change Request 1455) is MetTel's request for a system to positively control the current status of PONs.
  - This CR was submitted 5/15/00.
  - As of 2/5/01 the status was Pending Scheduling
  - The status is unchanged

### Metropolitan Telecommunications Missing Notifier Trouble Ticket Resolution

### March - April Inaccurate Status Provision In Response to Trouble Tickets

Dogwood	Totalin	Total PONs where Verizon Provided a Lowe (Previous) Status That Did Not reflect the Actual Status of the PON							
Requested Notifier	Total in Period	#	%						
PCN			45.19						
BCN			35.98						



#### Conclusion

- MetTel's analyses demonstrate that Verizon's OSS requires remediation before it is adequate for open and free competition.
- Each of the individual problems experienced by MetTel is significant. However, the performance of the OSS must be viewed in its totality, and taken together, the problems presented by MetTel reveal an extremely serious situation where Verizon continues to provide poor service over a large range of OSS functions.